#### EXHIBIT 50

#### World Anti-Doping Code



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE, SCOPE AND ORGANIZATION OF THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING PROGRAM AND THE CODE
THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING PROGRAM1
THE CODE1
INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS2
MODELS OF BEST PRACTICE
FUNDAMENTAL RATIONALE FOR THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING CODE3
PART ONE: DOPING CONTROL
INTRODUCTION6
ARTICLE 1: DEFINITION OF DOPING
ARTICLE 2: ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS8
2.1 THE PRESENCE OF A PROHIBITED SUBSTANCE OR ITS METABOLITES OR MARKERS IN AN ATHLETE'S BODILY SPECIMEN
2.2 USE OR ATTEMPTED USE OF A PROHIBITED SUBSTANCE OR A PROHIBITED METHOD
2310
2.411
2.5TAMPERING. OR ATTEMPTING TO TAMPER. WITH ANY PART OF DOPING CONTROL.
2.6POSSESSION OF PROHIBITED SUBSTANCES AND METHODS
2.7 TRAFFICKING IN ANY PROHIBITED SUBSTANCE OR PROHIBITED METHOD. 12
28
ARTICLE 3: PROOF OF DOPING 12

12

3.1 ......BURDENS AND STANDARDS OF PROOF. ..METHODS OF ESTABLISHING FACTS
AND PRESUMPTIONS......

3.2

+1.514,904.9232 +1.514,904.8650 code@wada-ama.org

Tel: Fax: E-mail:

URL: www.wada-ama.org

World Anti-Doping Agency Stock Exchange Tower 800 Place Victoria (Suite 1700) PO Box 120 Montreal, Quebec, Canada H4Z 1B7

World Anti-Doping Code March 2003

Published by:

9

8

14: CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING INFORMATION CONCERNING ADVERSE AWAL YTCAL FINDINGS AND OTHER POTENTIAL ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS.......

9

42

37

12: SANCTIONS AGAINST SPORTING BODIES

3

8

45

3 3

3

Contents

Contents

ELIMINATION OR REDUCTION OF PERIOD OF INELIGIBILITY BASED ON EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES....... RULES FOR CERTAIN POTENTIAL MULTIPLE VIOLATIONS.

ARTICLE 4: THE PROHIBITED LIST14	10.5 ELIMINATION OR REDUCTION OF PERIOD OF INEL IGN BASED ON EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES.
4.1PUBLICATION AND REVISION OF THE PROHIBITED LIST	10.6RULES FOR CERTAIN POTENTIAL MULTIPLE VIOLATION
4.2 PROHIBITED SUBSTANCES AND PROHIBITED METHODS IDENTIFIED ON THE PROHIBITED LIST	10.7 DISQUALIFICATION OF RESULTS IN COMPETITIONS SUBSEQUENT TO SAMPLE COLLECTION
4.3 CRITERIA FOR INCLUDING SUBSTANCES AND METHODS ON THE PROHIBITED LIST	10.8COMMENCEMENT OF INELIGIBILITY PERIOD
4.4THERAPEUTIC USE17	10,9STALUS DUKING INELIGIBILIT ?
4.5MONITORING PROGRAM18	IO. IOREINDIAI EMENT I ESTING
ARTICLE 5. TESTING	ARTICLE 11: CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS
5.1TEST DISTRIBUTION PLANNING19	ARTICLE 12: SANCTIONS AGAINST SPORTING BOD
5.2 STANDARDS FOR TESTING20	
ARTICLE 6: ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES 20	ARTICLE 13: APPEALS
6.1USE OF APPROVED LABORATORIES	13.1UEUISIUNS SUBJECT IU ARPEAL
6.2SUBSTANCES SUBJECT TO DETECTION	ANT-DOPING RULE YIOLATIONS, CONSEQUENCES,
6.3RESEARCH ON SAMPLES20	
6.4STANDARDS FOR SAMPLE ANALYSIS AND REPORTING21	13.3APPEALS FROM DECISIONS GRANTING OR DENYING A THERAPEUTIC USE EXEMPTION
ARTICLE 7: RESULTS MANAGEMENT 21	13.4APPEALS FROM DECISIONS IMPOSING CONSEQUENCES UNDER PART THREE OF THE CODE
7.1INITIAL REVIEW REGARDING ADVERSE ANALYTICAL FINDINGS21	13.5APPEALS FROM DECISIONS SUSPENDING OR
7.2NOTIFICATION AFTER INITIAL REVIEW	
7.3 FURTHER REVIEW OF ADVERSE ANALYTICAL FINDING WHERE REQUIRED BY PROHIBITED LIST 22	ARTICLE 14: CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING
7.4REVIEW OF OTHER ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS22	14.1INFORMATION CONCERNING ADVERSE ANALYTICAL
7.5PRINCIPLES APPLICABLE TO PROVISIONAL SUSPENSIONS	AND OTHER POTEN TAL ANTI-DOPING KULE VIOLATI  14.2PUBLIC DISCLOSURE
ARTICLE 8 : RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING	14.3 ATHLETEWHEREABOUTS INFORMATION
	14.4STATISTICAL REPORTING
AKTICLE Y. AUTUMATIC DISQUALIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS	14.5 DOPING CONTROL INFORMATION CLEARING HOUSE
ARTICLE 10: SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS	ARTICLE 15: CLARIFICATION OF DOPING CONTROL DECEMBER THE
10.1DISQUALIFICATION OF RESULTS IN EVENTDURING WHICH AN ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATION OCCURS	15.1 EVENT TESTING
10.2IMPOSITION OF INELIGIBILITYFOR PROHIBITED SUBSTANCES AND PROHIBITED METHODS	15.2 OUT-OF-COMPETITION TESTING
10.3 SPECIFIED SUBSTANCES	15.3RESULTS MANAGEMENT, HEARINGS AND SANCTIONS
10.4INELIGIBILITY FOR OTHER ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS28	15.4MUTUAL RECOGNITION

E 23: ACCEPTANCE, COMPLIANCE AND MODIFICATION...64

**MODIFICATION & INTERPRETATION** 

FOUR. ACCEPTANCE, COMPLIANCE,

25

E 22: INVOLVEMENT OF GOVERNMENTS.

69

8

E 21: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF

PARTICIPANTS.....

"ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF MAJOR
EVENT ORGANIZATIONS"
"ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF WADA...

....ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ATHLETES.

Contents

67 68

67

....CONSEQUENCES OF NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE CODE.

....WITHDRAWAL OF ACCEPTANCE OF THE CODE... E 24: INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE...

.... MODIFICATION OF THE CODE...

... ACCEPTANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION DEADLINES.

....IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CODE..

....ACCEPTANCE OF THE CODE...

.....MONITORING COMPLIANCE WITH THE CODE.

72

IDIX 1: DEFINITIONS.....

		1
	m	
•	Ë	
	ā	
•	Ž	
	능	
á	Т	

ANIMALS	20.6
COMPETING IN SPORT	20.7
16.246	ARTICI
ARTICLE 17; STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS46	21.1
PART TWO: EDUCATION AND RESEARCH	212
ARTICLE 18: EDUCATION 50	ARTICI
18.1 BASIC PRINCIPLE AND PRIMARY GOAL	22.1
18.2 PROGRAM AND ACTIVITIES 50	22
18.3COORDINATION AND COOPERATION50	777
ARTICLE 19: RESEARCH	TOAD
19.2TYPES OF RESEARCH	J
19.3COORDINATION51	ARTICI
19.4RESEARCH PRACTICES	23.1
19.5ADMINISTRATION OF PROHIBITED SUBSTANCES AND PROHIBITED METHODS 51	232
	23.3
	23.5
PART THREE: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	23.6
APTICLE 20. ADDITIONAL BOLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	23.7
OF SIGNATORIES 54	ARTICI
20.1ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE	24.1
INTERNATIONAL OLYMPIC COMMITTEE54	24.2
20.2ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE INTERNATIONAL PARALYMPIC COMMITTEE54	
203ROLES AND RESPONSIBILTIES OF INTERNATIONAL FEDERATIONS55	24.4
20.4ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF NATIONAL OLYMPIC COMMITTEES AND NATIONAL PARALYMPIC COMMITTEES56	24.6
OF NATIONAL	APPE
ANTI-DOPING ORGANIZATIONS57	

2003

#### INTRODUCTION

# THE PURPOSE, SCOPE AND ORGANIZATION OF THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING PROGRAM AND THE CODE

The purposes of the World Anti-Doping Program and the Code are:

- To protect the Athletes' fundamental right to participate in doping-free sport and thus promote health, fairness and equality for Athletes worldwide; and
- To ensure harmonized, coordinated and effective anti-doping programs at the international and national level with regard to detection, deterrence and prevention of doping.

## THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING PROGRAM

The World Anti-Doping Program encompasses all of the elements needed in order to ensure optimal harmonization and best practice in international and national anti-doping programs. The main elements are:

Level 1: The Code

Level 2: International Standards

Level 3: Models of Best Practice

#### THE CODE

The Code is the fundamental and universal document upon which the World Anti-Doping Program in sport is based. The purpose of the Code is to advance the anti-doping effort through universal harmonization of core anti-doping elements. It is intended to be specific enough to achieve complete harmonization on issues where uniformity is required, yet general enough in other areas to permit flexibility on how agreed upon anti-doping principles are implemented.

2003

FUNDAMENTAL RATIONALE FOR THE WORLD ANTI-DOPING  ${\it CODE}$ 

valuable about sport. This intrinsic value is often referred to as 'the spirit of sport"; it is the essence of Olympism; it is how we

play true. The spirit of sport is the celebration of the human spirit.

body and mind, and is characterized by the following values:

Ethics, fair play and honesty.

Excellence in performance.

Health.

Character and education.

Fun and joy.

Teamwork.

Anti-doping programs seek to preserve what is intrinsically

## INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

by WADA. The purpose of the International Standards is for compliance with the Code. The International Standards may be Unless provided otherwise in the Code, International Standards areas within the anti-doping program will be developed in consultation with the Signatories and governments and approved harmonization among Anti-Doping Organizations responsible for specific technical and operational parts of the anti-doping revised from time to time by the WADA Executive Committee after *International Standards* for different technical and operational programs. Adherence to the *International Standards* is mandatory reasonable consultation with the Signatories and governments. and all revisions shall become effective on the date specified in the International Standard or revision.

### MODELS OF BEST PRACTICE

Models of Best Practice based on the Code will be developed to The Models will be recommended by WADA and made available WADA will also make some training assistance available to the addition to providing models of anti-doping documentation, provide state of the art solutions in different areas of anti-doping to Signatories upon request but will not be mandatory. Signatories.

for implementing the Code. This would much of the technical detail necessary requirements for Sample collection. International Standards will contain International Standards Comment. include, for example, the detailed

reference, will, in consultation with the developed by experts and set forth in Olympic Movement Anti-Doping Code accreditation currently found in the laboratory analysis and laboratory Signatories and governments, be 1999 ("OMADC"). International incorporated into the Code by Standards, while expressly

important that the technical experts be requiring any amendment of the Code or individual stakeholder rules and able to make timely changes to the International Standards without regulations. All applicable International Standards will be in place by January 1, 2004.

WADA will prepare model anti-doping needs of each of the major groups of rules and regulations tailored to the Models of Best Practice Comment Signatories (e.g., International Federations for individual sports.

Others may decide to adopt the models

with modifications. Still other

stakeholders may choose to develop

International Federations for team

Doping is fundamentally contrary to the spirit of sport.

Respect for self and other participants.

Community and solidarity.

Courage.

Dedication and commitment.

Respect for rules and laws.

education programs, etc. All Models of parts of the anti-doping work may be Other model documents for specific recognized stakeholder needs and Best Practice will be reviewed and approved by WADA before they are International Standard for Testing). included in the World Anti-Doping expectations. This could include programs, results management. models for national anti-doping developed based on generally requirements set forth in the Testing (beyond the specific

consistent with the general principles and specific requirements set forth in their own rules and regulations

က

Organizations, etc.). These model rules These model rules and regulations will model rules and regulations and other stakeholders may choose to adopt the the art examples of best practices and and regulations will conform with and necessary to conduct an effective antibe based on the Code, will be state of will contain all of the detail (including reference to International Standards) models of best practices verbalim. stakeholders may select. Some provide alternatives from which sports. National Anti-Doping doping program.

separate technical documents. It is

# DOPING CONTROL

#### ေ

#### INTRODUCTION

Part One of the Code sets forth specific anti-doping rules and principles that are to be followed by organizations responsible for adopting, implementing or enforcing anti-doping rules within their authority – e.g., the International Olympic Committee, International Paralympic Committee, International Federations, Major Event Organizations, and National Anti-Doping Organizations. All of these organizations are collectively referred to as Anti-Doping Organizations.

Part One of the Code does not replace, or eliminate the need for. comprehensive anti-doping rules adopted by each of these Anti-Doping Organizations. While some provisions of Part One of the Code must be incorporated essentially verbatim by each Anti-Doping Organization in its own anti-doping rules, other provisions of Part One establish mandatory guiding principles that allow flexibility in the formulation of rules by each Anti-Doping Organization or establish requirements that must be followed by each Anti-Doping Organization but need not be repeated in its own anti-doping rules. The following Articles, as applicable to the scope of anti-doping activity which the Anti-Doping Organization performs, must be incorporated into the rules of each Anti-Doping Organization without any substantive changes (allowing for necessary non-substantive editing

harmonization to force all Signatories violations. the same burdens of proof and impose the same Consequences Introduction Commont For example must be the same whether a hearing it is critical to harmonization that all Signatories base their decisions on before CAS. On the other hand, it is violations. These substantive rules management and hearing process. takes place before an International Federation, at the national level or the same list of anti-doping rule for the same anti-doping rule not necessary for effective to use one single results

At present there are many different, yet equally effective processes for results management and hearings within different International Federations and different national bodies. The Code does not require absolute uniformity in results management and hearing procedures; it does, however, require that the diverse approaches of the Signatories satisfy principles stated in the Code.

With respect to Article 13. subpart 13.2.2 is not included in the provisions required to be adopted essentially

World Anti-Doping Code

changes to the language in order to refer to the organization's name, sport, section numbers, etc.): Articles 1 (Definition of Doping), 2 (Anti-Doping Rule Violations), 3 (Proof of Doping), 9 (Automatic *Disqualification* of Individual Results), 10 (Sanctions on Individuals), 11 (Consequences to Teams), 13 (Appeals) with the exception of 13.2.2, 17 (Statute of Limitations) and Definitions.

Anti-doping rules, like competition rules, are sport rules governing the conditions under which sport is played. Athletes accept these rules as a condition of participation. Anti-doping rules are not intended to be subject to or limited by the requirements and legal standards applicable to criminal proceedings or employment matters. The policies and minimum standards set forth in the Code represent the consensus of a broad spectrum of stakeholders with an interest in fair sport and should be respected by all courts and adjudicating bodies.

Participants shall be bound to comply with the anti-doping rules adopted in conformance with the Code by the relevant Anti-Doping Organizations. Each Signatory shall establish rules and procedures to ensure that all Participants under the authority of the Signatory and its member organizations are informed of and agree to be bound by anti-doping rules in force of the relevant Anti-Doping Organizations.

verbatim, as 13.2.2 establishes mandatory guiding principles that allow some flexibility in the formulation of rules by the Anti-Doping Organization.

Code by virtue of their agreements for the necessary steps to ensure that all doping rules based on Article 2 of the participation in sports organizations or sports events subject to the Code. Each Signatory, however, shall take Personnel should be bound by antibound by the relevant Anti-Doping participation in sport. Athletes are Personnel within its authority are bound by the competitive rules of their sport. In the same manner, Participants Comment. By their membership, accreditation, or Athletes and Athlete Support Athletes and Athlete Support

-

Organization's anti-doping rules.

## **ARTICLE 1: DEFINITION OF DOPING**

reporting threshold is specifically identified in the Prohibited List, the detected presence of any quantity of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Athlete's Sample shall constitute

an anti-doping rule violation.

As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1, the

2.1.3

Excepting those substances for which a quantitative

2.1.2

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

doping rule violations set forth in Article 2.1 through Article 2.8 of Doping is defined as the occurrence of one or more of the antithe Code

## ARTICLE 2: ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS

The following constitute anti-doping rule violations:

- The presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Athlete's bodily Specimen. 21
- Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. Athletes are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in their bodily Specimens. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing Use on the It is each Athlete's personal duty to ensure that no Athlete's part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping violation under Article 2.1. 2.1.1

2 Comment The purpose of Article 2 is to which constitute violations of anti-doping or more of these specific rules have beer proceed based on the assertion that one violated. Most of the circumstances and specify the circumstances and conduct conduct on this list of violations can be found in some form in the OMADC or rules. Hearings in doping cases will other existing anti-doping rules.

strict liability principle, an anti-doping rule Metabolites or Markers), the Code adopts the rule of strict liability which is found in doping violations involving the presence Specimen. The violation occurs whether Substance is found in an Athlete's bodity violation occurs whenever a Prohibited existing anti-doping rules. Under the 2.1.1 Comment For purposes of antithe OMADC and the vast majority of of a Prohibited Substance (or its

Substance or was negligent or otherwise an In-Competition test then the results of at fault. If the positive Sample came from possibility to avoid or reduce sanctions if Article 10.5 (Elimination or Reduction of the Athlete can demonstrate that he or she was not at fault or significant fault. Disqualification of Individual Results)). However, the Athlete then has the that Competition are automatically unintentionally used a Prohibited or not the Athlete intentionally or invelidated (Article 9 (Automatic Period of Ineligibility Based on Exceptional Circumstances).

the Athlete may have taken medication as

the result of mislabeling or faulty advice

for which he or she is not responsible -

individual case, such as that of Q.. where

in some sense to be unfair in an

It is true that a strict liability test is likely

The strict liability rule for the finding of a specified criteria, provides a reasonable balance between effective anti-doping sanctions may be modified based on Prohibited Substance in an Athlete's Specimen, with a possibility that

prohibition of banned substances will not

await the Athlete's recovery, so the

competition, like those of life generally. be lifted in recognition of its accidental

absorption. The vicissitudes of

Prohibited List may establish special criteria for the evaluation of Prohibited Substances that can also whether by accident or the negligence of unaccountable Persons, which the law may create many types of unfaimess, be produced endogenously.

enforcement for the benefit of all "clean"

4thletes and fairness in the exceptional Substance entered an Athlete's system

circumstance where a Prohibited

certain that a requirement of intent would invite costly litigation that may well cripple policy objective not to repair an accidental unfairness to an individual by creating an would in many cases escape sanction for Furthermore, it appears to be a laudable ofother competitors. This is what would when absorbed inadvertently. Moreover intentional unfairness to the whole body federations - particularly those run on enhancing substances were tolerated lack of proof of guilty intent. And it is it is likely that even intentional abuse happen if banned performancecannot repair.

was well stated by the Court of Arbitration

for Sport in the case of Quigley v. UiT.

The rationale for the strict liability rule

s not automatic

of whether the anti-doping rule has been mposition of a fixed period of Ineligibility

riolated is based on strict liability, the

emphasize that while the determination

through no fault or negligence on the

Athlete's part. It is important to

ratio greater than 6:1 is doping unless a otherwise establishes that the elevated Prohibited List might provide that a T/E ratio is the result of a physiological or naturally elevated ratio or the Athlete Doping Organization demonstrates a subsequent test results by the Anti-21.3 Comment For example, the longitudinal analysis of prior or pathological condition.

> an important competition. Yet in neither case will the rules of the competition be the competition will not be postponed to

altered to undo the unfairness. Just as

modest budgets - in their fight against

sudden illness in a foreign country. But it Athlete to get food poisoning on the eve of

is also in some sense "unfair" for an particularly in the circumstances of

Competition or training, unless the Athlete Support Personnel establishes that the Possession is pursuant to a therapeutic use exemption granted to an Athlete in

accordance with Article 4.4 (Therapeutic Use) or other

acceptable justification.

Competition Testing or a Prohibited Method by Athlete

Possession of a substance that is prohibited in Out-of-

262

Support Personnel in connection with an Athlete,

Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method. 2.2

to provide required whereabouts information and missed

tests which are declared based on reasonable rules.

Violation of applicable requirements regarding Athlete availability for Out-of-Competition Testing including failure

24

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

Fampering, or Attempting to tamper, with any part of

Doping Control.

2.5

Possession by an Athlete at any time or place of a substance that is prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing

26.1

Possession of Prohibited Substances and Methods:

2.6

the Possession is pursuant to a therapeutic use exemption

granted in accordance with Article 4.4 (Therapeutic Use) or

other acceptable justification.

or a Prohibited Method unless the Athlete establishes that

- sufficient that the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited The success or failure of the *Us*e of a *Prohibited* Substance or Prohibited Method is not material. It is Method was Used or Attempted to be Used for an anti-doping rule violation to be committed. 22.1
- Refusing, or failing without compelling justification, to Sample collection after notification as authorized in applicable anti-doping rules or otherwise evading Sample collection. submit to 2.3

from the text in the OMADC to include example, through admissions, third Use" as a separate anti-doping rule specifically delineate "admission of violation. "Use" can be proved, for party testimony or other evidence. against "Use" has been expanded Prohibited Substances as well as 2.2.1 Comment: The prohibition Prohibited Methods. With this inclusion there is no need to

Demonstrating the "Attempted Use" of a Prohibiled Substance requires proof strict liability principle established for prove this particular anti-doping rule violations of Article 2.1 and Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited of intent on the Athlete's part. The fact that intent may be required to violation does not undermine the

prohibited Out-of-Competition would An Athlete's Out-of-Competition Use of a Prohibited Substance that is not not constitute an anti-doping rule violation.

established that an Athlete was hiding notification is prohibiled in almost all submit to Sample collection" may be was allempting to conduct a test. A include "otherwise evading Sample 2.3 Comment: Failure or refusal to anti-doping rule violation if it were Article expands the typical rule to from a Doping Control official who Thus, for example, it would be an violation of "refusing or failing to submit to Sample collection after collection" as prohibited conduct. existing anti-doping rules. This based on either intentional or

negligent conduct of the Athlete, while contemplates intentional conduct by evading" Sample collection

varying circumstances encountered in violation of this Article may be based Anti-Doping Organization in order to allow some flexibility based upon different sports and countries. A on either intentional or negligent

Doping Control form during Testing or breaking the B Bottle at the time of B Sample analysis. be included in the typical definition of Control process but which would not 2.5 Comment. This Article prohibits altering identification numbers on a conduct which subverts the Doping Prohibited Methods. For example.

conduct by the Athlete.

requirements" are set by the Athlete's International Federation and National located for No Advance Notice Out-ofaccurate Athlete location information Competition Testing. The "applicable 2.4 Comment Unannounced Out-of-Athletes that have been identified for sometimes impossible. This Article. Competition Testing is at the core of existing anti-doping rules, requires which is not typically found in most effective Doping Control Without Out-of-Competition Testing to be whereabouts so that they can be such Testing is inefficient and responsible for providing and updating information on their

2

Ξ

that departures from the International Standard

Departures from the International Standard for Testing which did not cause an Adverse Analytical Finding or other anti-doping rule violation shall not invalidate such results. If the Athlete establishes

3.2.2

did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding.

occurred during Testing then the Anti-Doping Organization shall have the burden to establish that

World Anti-Doping Code

2003

2.7 Trafficking in any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

conducted Sample analysis and custodial procedures in accordance with the International Standard for laboratory analysis. The Athlete may rebut this

presumption by establishing that a departure from

the International Standard occurred.

WADA-accredited laboratories are presumed to have

3.2.1

World Anti-Doping Code

showing that a departure from the *International Standard* occurred, then the *Anti-Doping Organization* shall have the burden to establish that such departure

If the Athlete rebuts the preceding presumption by

2.8 Administration or Attempted administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method to any Athlete, or assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, covering up or any other type of complicity involving an anti-doping rule violation or any Attempted violation.

## ARTICLE 3: PROOF OF DOPING

3.1 Burdens and Standards of Proof.

The Anti-Doping Organization shall have the burden of establishing that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. The standard of proof shall be whether the Anti-Doping Organization has established an anti-doping rule violation to the compose satisfaction of the hearing body bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegation which is made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Where the Code places the burden of proof upon the Athlete or other Person alleged to have committed an anti-doping rule violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, the standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability.

3.2 Methods of Establishing Facts and Presumptions.

Facts related to anti-doping rule violations may be established by any reliable means, including admissions. The following rules of proof shall be applicable in doping cases:

3.1 Comment. This standard of proof required to be met by the Anti-Doping Organization is comparable to the standard which is applied in most countries to cases involving professional

misconduct. It has also been widely applied by courts and tribunals in doping cases. See. for example, the CAS decision in N. J. Y. W. v. FINA. CAS 98/208, 22 December 1998.

such departures did not cause the Adverse
Analytical Finding or the factual basis for the antidoping rule violation.

The burden is on the burden shifts to the Anti-Doping ish, by a Organization to prove to the

3.2.1 Comment: The burden is on the difficulty to the Anti-Doping Athlete to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, a comfortable satisfaction of the departure from the International hearing body that the departure did Standard, if the Athlete does so, the not change the test result.

ದ

## ARTICLE 4: THE PROHIBITED LIST

### Publication and Revision of the Prohibited List. 4.1

WADA's website, and each Signatory shall take appropriate steps to distribute the Prohibited List to its Organization's rules three months after publication of the Standard. The proposed content of the Prohibited List and Signatories and governments for comment and consultation. Each annual version of the *Prohibited List* and all revisions shall be distributed promptly by WADA to each Signatory and government and shall be published on members and constituents. The rules of each Anti-Doping Organization shall specify that, unless provided otherwise in the *Prohibited List* or a revision, the *Prohibited List* and revisions shall go into effect under the Anti-Doping WADA shall, as often as necessary and no less often than all revisions shall be provided in writing promptly to all annually, publish the *Prohibited List* as an *International* Prohibited List by WADA without requiring any further action by the Anti-Doping Organization.

#### Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods Identified on the *Prohibited* List. 4.2

The Prohibited List shall identify those Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods which are prohibited as doping at all times (both In-Competition and Out-of-

4.1 Comment: The Prohibited List will of the IOC practice of publishing a new changes have been made. The virtue current. To address this issue, WADA published every year whether or not confusion over which list is the most expedited basis whenever the need will always have the most current list every January is that it avoids arises. However, for the sake of be revised and published on an predictability, a new list will be Prohibited List published on its website

applicable until the Code is accepted doping rules adopted by Anti-Doping Organizations pursuant to the Code 2004 with the publication of the first will not go into effect until January Prohibited List adopted by WADA. The OMADC will continue to be It is anticipated that revised antiby the International Olympic Committee.

which are prohibited at all times Prohibited List. The substances 4.2 Commont: There will be one

additional substances or methods to the Prohibited List for particular sports (e.g.

carried over from the OMADC

the inclusion of beta-blockers for

Criteria for Including Substances and Methods on the

expanded by WADA for that particular sport. Prohibited

of an International Federation, the Prohibited List may be

Substances and Prohibited Methods may be included in the

Prohibited List by general category (e.g., anabolic agents) or

by specific reference to a particular substance or method.

potential and those substances and methods which are prohibited In-Competition only. Upon the recommendation

performance in future Competitions or their masking

Competition) because of their potential to

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

Prohibited List.

4.3

WADA shall consider the following criteria in deciding whether to include a substance or method on the Prohibited List.

inclusion on the Prohibited List if WADA determines that the substance or method meets any two of the A substance or method shall be considered for following three criteria: 4.3.1

pharmacological effect or experience that the substance or method has the potential Medical or other scientific evidence to enhance or enhances sport performance; 4.3.1.1

would include masking agents and

training. may have long lerm

shooting) but this will also be reflected mind sports?). The premise of this are prohibited in which sports. what is tested for Out-of-Competition is performance enhancing effects such as anabolics. All substances and methods There will be only one document called those substances which, when used in on the Prohibited List are prohibited In-Competition. This distinction between what is tested for In-Competition and the "Prohibited List." WADA may add

will avoid some of the current confusion on the single Prohibited List. Having all related to identifying which substances Prohibited Substances (e.g. eliminating decision is that there are certain basic Prohibited Substances on a single list Individual sports are not permitted to seek exemption from the basic list of anabolics from the Prohibited List for doping agents which anyone who Athlete should not take.

ក

the Use of the substance or method pharmacological effect, or experience that represents an actual or potential health Medical or other scientific evidence risk to the Athlete: 4.3.1.2

Substances and Prohibited Methods that will be included on the Prohibited List shall be final and shall not be subject to challenge by an Athlete or other Person based on an argument that the substance or method was not a masking agent or

the

φ

determination

WADA's

4.3.3

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

- WADA's determination that the Use of the substance or method violates the spirit of sport described in the Introduction to the 4.3.1.3
- pharmacological effect or experience that the substance or method has the potential to mask the Prohibited List if WADA determines there is Use of other *Prohibited Substanc*es and *Prohibited* A substance or method shall also be included on the evidence, scientific other 5 Methods medical 43.2

is contrary to the spirit of sport. None 6.3.2 Commont. A substance shall be sport performance; (2) it represents a potential or actual health risk; or (3) it carbohydrate loading and training at following three criteria: (1) it has the would include. for example, physical Prohibited List if the substance is a masking agent or meets two of the potential to enhance or enhances performance as the sole criteria substance to the Prohibited List. considered for inclusion on the Using the potential to enhance and mental training. red meat. of the three criteria <u>alone</u> is a sufficient basis for adding a

therapeutic justification based on the smoking. Requiring all three criteria prohibited as contrary to the spirit of altitude. Risk of harm would include abuse of certain substances without performance is certainly contrary to technology to dramatically enhance example the use of genetic transfer Similarly, the potentially unhealthy would also be unsatisfactory. For the spirit of sport regardless of performance enhancement is mistaken belief they enhance sport performance should be sport even if it is not harmful. whether the expectation of

found in an Athlete's bodity Specimen. The when a substance on the Prohibited List is

same principle is found in the OMADC.

have been performance enhancing in that

particular sport. Rather, doping occurs

Prohibited Substance detected would not

For example, it cannot be argued that the

defense to an anti-doping rule wolation.

particular case cannot be raised as a

Methods on the Prohibited List) in a

international-Level Athletes or any other Athlete who is International Federations for International Level Athletes and to the National Antigranting or denying exemptions to the granted and gives responsibility for 43.3 Comment. The question of whether a substance meets the criteria in Article 4.3 (Criteria for Including Substances and

WADA shall adopt an International Standard for the

Therapeutic Use

77

process of granting therapeutic use exemptions.

did not have the potential to enhance performance, represent a health risk, or violate the spirit of sport. 힏

Federation shall ensure,

International

Each

Standard, that decision may be submitted Level Athletes) and other Athletes subjec specifically addressed in the International Standard for therapeutic use exemptions exemption has been denied or granted in (Appeals). If the granting of a therapeutic shall not apply retroactively and shall not disqualify the Athlete's results during the severe asthma and inflammatory bowel use exemption is reversed the reversal time that the therapeutic use exemption Doping Organizations for national-level Athletes (that are not also International-Prohibited Substances which might be to WADA for review as provided in the International Standard and thereafter are medications prescribed for acute appealed as provided in Article 13.3 Examples of commonly prescribed disease. When a therapeutic use to Daping Control under the Code contravention of the International

Prohibited Substances may be subject to

sanctioning unless they have previously

exemptions become more harmonized

processes for granting therapeutic use

44 Comment It is important that the

4thletes who use medically prescribed

However, currently many sporting bodies

obtained a therapeutic use exemption.

have no rules permitting therapeutic use

exemptions: others follow unwritten policies; and only a few have written 17

was in effect

policies incorporated into their anti-doping rules. This Article seeks to harmonize the

basis upon which therapeutic use

ner National Anti-Doping Organization's Registered WADA, on its own initiative, may review the granting of a herapeutic use exemption to any *International-Level* 4thlete or national-level Athlete that is included in his or Further, upon the request of any such Athlete that has been denied a therapeutic use exemption, WADA may review such denial. If WADA determines that such granting or denial of a therapeutic use exemption did not comply with the International Standard for therapeutic use exemptions, WADA may reverse the decision. Testing Pool.

#### Monitoring Program 4.5

but which WADA wishes to monitor in order to detect patterns of misuse in sport. WADA shall publish, in advance of any Testing, the substances that will be Laboratories will report the instances of reported Use or detected presence of these substances to WADA, in consultation with other Signatories and governments, shall establish a monitoring program regarding substances which are not on the Prohibited List. monitored.

World Anti-Doping Code

reported use or detected presence of the monitored shall make available to International Federations and basis, aggregate statistical information by sport regarding measures to ensure that strict anonymity of individual Athletes is maintained with respect to such reports. The **NADA** periodically on an aggregate basis by sport and whether the Samples were collected In-Competition or Such reports shall not contain additional information regarding specific Samples. WADA National Anti-Doping Organizations, on at least an annual WADA shall implement substances shall not constitute a doping violation. the additional substances. Out-of-Competition.

#### ARTICLE 5: TESTING

- conducting Testing shall in coordination with other Anti-Doping Test Distribution Planning. Anti-Doping Organizations Organizations conducting Testing on the same Athlete pool: 5.1
- International Federation shall establish a Registered and each National Anti-Doping Organization shall establish a national Registered Testing Pool for Athletes in its country. The national-level pool shall include International-Level Athletes from that country as well as Federation and National Anti-Doping Organization shall plan and conduct In-Competition and Out-of-Each International Testing Pool for International-Level Athletes in its sport, Plan and implement an effective number of *In*-Competition Testing on its Registered Testing Pool. Competition and Out-of-Competition tests. other national-level Athletes. 5.1.1
- Make No Advance Notice Testing a priority. 5.12
- Conduct Target Testing. 5.1.3

specified because random Testing. or even weighted random Testing, does 5.1.3 Comment Target Testing is

not ensure that all of the appropriate Athletes will be tested. (For example: world class Athletes. Athletes whose

### World Anti-Doping Code

2003

### 5.2 Standards for Testing

Anti-Doping Organizations conducting Testing shall conduct such Testing in conformity with the International Standard for Testing.

## ARTICLE 6: ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Doping Control Samples shall be analyzed in accordance with the following principles:

## 6.1 Use of Approved Laboratories

Doping Control Samples shall be analyzed only in W4DA-accredited laboratories or as otherwise approved by W4DA. The choice of the W4DA-accredited laboratory (or other method approved by W4DA) used for the Sample analysis shall be determined exclusively by the Anti-Doping Organization responsible for results management.

## 62 Substances Subject to Detection

Doping Control Samples shall be analyzed to detect Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods identified on the Prohibited List and other substances as may be directed by WADA pursuant to Article 4.5 (Monitoring Program).

### 6.3 Research on Samples

No Sample may be used for any purpose other than the detection of substances (or classes of substances) or methods on the Prohibited List, or as otherwise identified

performances have dramatically improved over a short period of time. Athletes whose coaches have had other Athletes test positive. etc.). Obviously. Target Testing must not be used for any purpose other than legitimate Doping Control. The Code makes it clear that Athletes have no right to expect that they will be tested only on a random basis. Similarly, it does not impose any reasonable suspicion or probable cause requirement for Target Testing.

5.2 Commont: The required methods and processes for the various types of In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing will be described in greater detail in the International Standard for Testing.

6.1 Comment. The phrase "or other method approved by WADA" is intended to cover, for example. mobile blood Testing procedures which WADA has reviewed and considers to be reliable.

### World Anti-Doping Code

2003

by WADA pursuant to Article 4.5 (Monitoring Program) without the Athlete's written consent.

# 6.4 Standards for Sample Analysis and Reporting

Laboratories shall analyze Doping Control Samples and report results in conformity with the International Standard for laboratory analysis.

## **ARTICLE 7: RESULTS MANAGEMENT**

Each Anti-Doping Organization conducting results management shall establish a process for the pre-hearing administration of potential anti-doping rule violations that respects the following principles:

# 7.1 Initial Review Regarding Adverse Analytical Findings

Upon receipt of an A Sample Adverse Analytical Finding. the Anti-Doping Organization responsible for results management shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) an applicable therapeutic use exemption has been granted, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the International Standards for Testing or laboratory analysis that undermines the validity of the Adverse Analytical Finding.

## 7.2 Notification After Initial Review

If the initial review under Article 7.1 does not reveal an applicable therapeutic use exemption or departure that undermines the validity of the Adverse Analytical Finding.

Tcomment Various of the Signatories have created their own approaches to results management for Adverse Analytical Findings. While the various approaches have not been entirely uniform, many have proven to be fair and effective systems for results management. The Code does not supplant each of the Signatories' results management systems. This Advice folges, however, specify basic principles dioes, however, specify basic principles in order to ensure the fundamental

fairness of the results management process which must be observed by each Signatory. The specific anti-doping rules of each Signatory shall be consistent with these basic principles.

7.2 Comment. The Athlete has a right to request a prompt B Sample analysis regardless of whether follow-up investigation may be required under Articles 7.3 or 7.4.

World Anti-Doping Code

#### Further Review of Adverse Analytical Finding Where Required by Prohibited List 7.3

follow-up investigation as may be required by the Prohibited List. Upon completion of such follow-up notify the Athlete regarding the results of the follow-up nvestigation and whether or not the Anti-Doping established by such organization shall also conduct any investigation, the A*nti-Doping Organization* shall promptly Organization asserts that an anti-doping rule was violated. The Anti-Doping Organization or other reviewing body

### Review of Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations 7.4

which the Anti-Doping Organization otherwise considers up investigation as may be required under applicable antidoping policies and rules adopted pursuant to the Code or appropriate. The Anti-Doping Organization shall promptly The Anti-Doping Organization or other reviewing body give the Athlete or other Person subject to sanction notice, established by such organization shall conduct any follow-

7.4 Commont. As an example, an International Federation typically

would notify the Athlete through the Athlete's national sports federation.

World Anti-Doping Code

n the manner set out in its rules, of the anti-doping rule which appears to have been violated, and the basis of the violation.

### Principles Applicable to Provisional Suspensions 7.5

permitting Provisional Suspensions to be imposed after the review and notification described in Articles 7.1 and 7.2 Suspension may not be imposed unless the Athlete is given either: (a) an opportunity for a Provisional Hearing either before imposition of the Provisional Suspension or on a timely basis after imposition of the Provisional Suspension; or (b) an opportunity for an expedited hearing in accordance with Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing) on a but prior to a final hearing as described in Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing). Provided, however, that a Provisional A Signatory may adopt rules, applicable to any Event for which the Signatory is the ruling body or for any team selection process for which the Signatory is responsible, timely basis after imposition of a Provisional Suspension.

Sample analysis does not confirm the A Sample analysis, then the Athlete shall not be subject to any further disciplinary action and any sanction previously imposed the Athlete's team has been removed from a Competition and the subsequent B Sample analysis does not confirm the A Sample finding, if, without otherwise affecting the Competition, it is still possible for the Athlete or team to be reinserted, the Athlete or team may continue to take part shall be rescinded. In circumstances where the Athlete or If a Provisional Suspension is imposed based on an Sample Adverse Analytical Finding and a subsequent n the Competition.

Provisional Suspension before a final Suspensions have been authorized in 7.5 Commont. This Article continues (Right to a Fair Hearing). Provisional the OMADC and by the rules of many decision at a hearing under Article 8 to permit the possibility of a

international Federations. However,

review specified in the Code must first be completed. In addition, a Signatory imposing a Provisional Suspension is opportunity for a Provisional Hearing before a Provisional Suspension can be unilaterally imposed by an Anti-Doping Organization. the internal required to give the Athlete an

ន

An anti-doping rule violation in connection with an In-Competition test automatically leads to Disqualification of the individual result

obtained in that Competition with all resulting consequences,

including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

to call and question witnesses (subject to the hearing body's

discretion to accept testimony by telephone or written

submission);

the Person's right to an interpreter at the hearing, with the hearing body to determine the identity, and responsibility for Hearings held in connection with Events may be conducted by an

a timely, written, reasoned decision;

the cost, of the interpreter; and

expedited process as permitted by the rules of the relevant Anti-

Doping Organization and the hearing body.

ARTICLE 9. AUTOMATIC *disqualification* of Individual

**RESULTS** 

the right of each party to present evidence, including the right

## ARTICLE 8: RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING

violation was committed and, if so, the appropriate management shall provide a hearing process for any Person Such hearing process shall address whether an anti-doping Consequences. The hearing process shall respect the following Each Anti-Doping Organization with responsibility for results who is asserted to have committed an anti-doping rule violation. principles:

- a timely hearing;
- fair and impartial hearing body;
- the right to be represented by counsel at the Person's own expense;
- the right to be fairly and timely informed of the asserted antidoping rule violation;
- the right to respond to the asserted anti-doping rule violation and resulting Consequences;

hearing under Article 8 promptly after forego a Provisional Suspension and Suspension. The Athlete has a right utilizing an expedited process under proceed directly to the final hearing imposing a Provisional Suspension to appeal under Article 13.2. As an under this Article, the Anti-Doping either before or promptly after the Suspension, or an expedited final Organization may always elect to alternative to the process for imposition of the Provisional imposition of the Provisional

A Sample finding. the Athlete that had Sample analysis does not confirm the In the rare circumstance where the B been provisionally suspended will be

permit, to participate in subsequent Athlete may be able to take part in Similarly, depending upon the relevant rules of the International Federation in a Team Sport, if the team is still in Competition. the allowed, where circumstances Competitions during the Event. future Competitions.

basic principles relative to ensuring a have violated anti-doping rules. This fair hearing for Persons asserted to each Signatory provides a hearing 8 Comment: This Article contains Article is not intended to supplant hearings but rather to ensure that each Signatory's own rules for process consistent with these principles

Signatory from also specifying CAS as body in Article 13 does not prevent a

The reference to CAS as an appellate

the initial hearing body.

Event where the resolution of the case expedited on the eve of a major Event will affect the validity of the Athlete's doping rule wolation is necessary to determine the Athlete's eligibility to participate in the Event or during an results or continued participation in where the resolution of the anti-For example a hearing could be

Substance in his or her system, that is 9 Comment: This principle is found in the OMADC. When an Athlete wins a way. Only a "clean" Athlete should be the gold medallist was at fault in any Competition regardless of whether unfair to the other Athletes in that allowed to benefit from his or her gold medal with a Prohibited competitive results.

For Team Sports, see Article 11 (Consequences to Teams).

## **ARTICLE 10: SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS**

Disqualification of Results in Event During which an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Occurs 10.1

An anti-doping rule violation occurring during or in connection with an Event may, upon the decision of the ruling body of the Event, lead to Disqualification of all of the Athlete's individual results obtained in that Event with all consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 10.1.1.

Competitions other than the Competition in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have 10.1.1 If the Athlete establishes that he or she bears No Fault results in the other Competitions shall not be or Negligence for the violation, the Athlete's individual been affected by the Athlete's anti-doping rule violation. the Athlete's results Disqualified unless

Imposition of Ineligibility for Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods 10.2

the period of Ineligibility imposed for a violation of Articles Except for the specified substances identified in Article 10.3, 2.1 (presence of *Prohibited Substance* or its Metabolites or

result in a single Competition in which (e.g.. the FINA World Championships) results in all races during the Event the Athlete tested positive (e.g., the 100 meter backstroke), this Article Individual Results) Disqualifies the 10.1 Commont Whereas Article 9 may lead to Disqualification of all (Automatic Disqualification of

whether to Disqualify other results in doping rule violation and whether the Factors to be included in considering an Event might include. for example, Athlete tested negative in the other the severity of the Athlete's anti-Competitions.

significant effect on the Athlete than in including for example the following: in sports where careers are traditionally doping. Arguments against requiring harmonization of sanctions are based discussed and debated areas of antiincome from the sport and in others sanctions has been one of the most the Athletes are true amateurs: in Disqualification has a much more those sports where an Athlete's professionals making a sizable on differences between sports some sports the Athletes are career is short (e.g. artistic gymnastics) a two year

10.2 Comment Harmonization of

World Anti-Doping Code

Markers). 2.2 (Use or Attempted Use of Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method) and 2.6 (Possession of Prohibited Substances and Methods) shall be:

- First violation: Two (2) years' Ineligibility.
- Second violation: Lifetime Ineligibility.

imposed, to establish the basis for eliminating or reducing opportunity in each case, before a period of Ineligibility is However, the Athlete or other Person shall have the this sanction as provided in Article 10.5 Specified Substances

### 10.3

Athlete can establish that the Use of such a specified doping rules violations because of their general availability in medicinal products or which are less likely to be successfully abused as doping agents. Where an The Prohibited List may identify specified substances which are particularly susceptible to unintentional anti-

National Anti-Doping Organizations. International Federations and

Lausanne in February 1999 supported second violation. This consensus was Conference on Doping in Sport held in first serious anti-doping rule violation a two year period of Ineligibility for a followed with a lifetime ban for a The consensus of the World reflected in the OMADC.

in other sports where practice as part

of a team is more important. A

primary argument in favor of

practice during Disqualification than

competitive skills through solitary shooting): in individual sports. the

much longer (e.g. equestrian and 4thlete is better able to maintain country who test positive for the same

Prohibited Substance under similar

circumstances should receive

right that two Athletes from the same

harmonization is that it is simply not

prohibited stimulant. "Reduction" of a positive as a result of the inadvertent sanction under Article 10.5.2 applies allows, for example, some flexibility use of a cold medicine containing a carried over from the OMADC and only to a second or third violation 10.3 Comment: This principle is in disciplining Athletes who test because the sanction for a first

often been viewed as an unacceptable

opportunity for some sporting bodies addition. Rexibility in sanctioning has

to be more lenient with dopers. The has also frequently been the source

lack of harmonization of sanctions

jurisdictional conflicts between

different sanctions only because they

participate in different sports. In

ŏ

2003

substance was not intended to enhance sport performance, the period of *Ineligibility* found in Article 10.2 shall be replaced with the following:

- First violation: At a minimum, a warning and reprimand and no period of Ineligibility from future Events, and at a maximum, one (1) year's Ineligibility.
- Second violation: Two (2) years' Ineligibility.
- Third violation: Lifetime Ineligibility.

However, the Athlete or other Person shall have the opportunity in each case, before a period of Ineligibility is imposed, to establish the basis for eliminating or reducing (in the case of a second or third violation) this sanction as provided in Article 10.5.

10.4 Ineligibility for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The period of Ineligibility for other anti-doping rule violations shall be:

- 10.4.1 For violations of Article 2.3 (refusing or failing to submit to Sample collection) or Article 2.5 (Tampering with Doping Control), the Ineligibility periods set forth in Article 10.2 shall apply.
- 10.4.2 For violations of Articles 2.7 (Trafficking) or 2.8 (administration of Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method). the period of Ineligibility imposed shall be a minimum of four (4) years up to

violation already builds in sufficient discretion to allow consideration of the Person's degree of fault. 10.4.2 Comment: Those who are involved in doping Athletes or covering up doping should be subject to sanctions which are more severe than the Athletes who test positive.

Since the authority of sport organizations is generally limited to Ineligibility for credentials. The membership and other sport benefits reporting Athlete Support Personnel to competent authorities is an important step in the deterrence of deping.

lifetime Ineligibility. An anti-doping rule violation involving a Minor shall be considered a particularly serious violation, and, if committed by Athlete Support Personnel for violations other than specified substances referenced in Article 10.3, shall result in lifetime Ineligibility for such Athlete Support Personnel. In addition, violations of such Articles which also violate non-sporting laws and regulations, may be reported to the competent administrative, professional or judicial authorities.

10.4.3 For violations of Article 2.4 (whereabouts violation or missed test), the period of *Ineligibility* shall be at a minimum 3 months and at a maximum 2 years in accordance with the rules established by the *Anti-Doping Organization* whose test was missed or whereabouts requirement was violated. The period of *Ineligibility* for subsequent violations of Article 2.4 shall be as established in the rules of the *Anti-Doping Organization* whose test was missed or whereabouts requirement was violated.

10.5 Elimination or Reduction of Period of Ineligibility Based on Exceptional Circumstances.

### 10.5.1 No Fault or Negligence

If the Athlete establishes in an individual case involving an anti-doping rule violation under Article

10.4.3 Comment. The whereabouts and missed test policies of different Anti-Doping Organizations may vary considerably, particularly at the outset as these policies are being put into place. Thus, considerable flexibility has been provided for sanctioning these anti-doping rule violations. Those Anti-Doping Organizations with more sophisticated policies including built in safeguards, and those organizations with longer track

records of Athlete experience with a whereabouts policy, could provide for Ineligibility periods at the longer end of the specified range

10.5.1 Comment. Article 10.5.1 applies only to violations under Articles 2.1 and 2.2 (presence and Use of Prohibited Substances) because fault or negligence is already required to establish an anti-doping rule violation under other anti-doping rules.

or her system in order to have the period of

neligibility reduced.

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

2003

Prohibited Method under Article 2.2, failing to submit to Sample collection under Article 2.3, or administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method under Article 2.8. If an Athlete

violations that he or she bears No Significant Fault or Negligence, then the period of Ineligibility may be

reduced, but the reduced period of Ineligibility may not be less than one-half of the minimum period of

establishes in an individual case involving such

Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the reduced period under this section may be no less than 8 years. When a Prohibited Substance or its Markers or Metabolites is detected in an Athlete's Specimen in violation of Article 2.1 (presence of Prohibited Substance), the Athlete must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his

(presence of Prohibited Substance), the Athlete 2.1 (presence of Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers) or Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method under Article 2.2 that he or she bears No Fault or Negligence for the violation, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be eliminated. When a Prohibited Substance or its Markers or Metabolites is detected must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system in order to have the period of Ineligibility eliminated. In the event this Article is applied and the period of *Ineligibility* otherwise applicable is eliminated, the anti-doping rule violation shall not be considered a violation for the limited purpose of determining the period of Ineligibility for multiple violations under Articles in an Athlete's Specimen in violation of Article 2.1 10.2, 10.3 and 10.6.

## 10.5.2 No Significant Fault or Negligence

9 This Article 10.5.2 applies only to anti-doping rule of 9 violations involving Article 2.1 (presence Markers), Use of a Prohibited Substance Substance or its Metabolites Prohibited

and not in the vast majority of cases.

10.5. an example where No Fault or Negligence would result in the total To illustrate the operation of Article

4thlete could prove that, despite all

circumstances are truly exceptional

Article 10.5 is meant to have an

impact only in cases where the

there must be some opportunity in the was accepted at the World Conference in the unique circumstance where the circumstances of each particular case elimination of the period of Ineligibility provides for the possible reduction or in imposing sanctions. This principle 10.5.2 Commont: The trend in doping incorporated into the OMADC which Athlete can establish that he or she on Doping in Sport 1999 and was cases has been to recognize that course of the hearing process to circumstances." The Code also provides that sanctions can be consider the unique facts and reduced in "exceptional

on a range of other factors even when Anti-Doping Organizations that argue reduce a two year suspension based whether an anti-doping rule violation imposition of sanctions; they are not connection with the violation. This for a much narrower exception, or the Athlete was admittedly at fault. provides a balance between those applicable to the determination of had No Fault or Negligence, or No Significant Fault or Negligence, in approach is consistent with basic none at all, and those that would These Articles apply only to the principles of human rights and has occurred.

mislabeled or confaminated vitamin are responsible for what they ingest

the following circumstances: (a) a

positive test resulting from a

ė

or nutritional supplement (Athletes

sanction based on No Significant Fault Athlete's circle of associates (Athletes their choice of medical personnel and food and drink). However, depending illustrations could result in a reduced reduction may well be appropriate in and for the conduct of those persons to whom they entrust access to their Athlete (Athletes are responsible for are responsible for what they ingest for advising medical personnel that they cannot be given any prohibited Athlete's food or drink by a spouse. substance); and (c) sabotage of the illustration (a) if the Athlete clearly on the unique facts of a particular coach or other person within the trainer without disclosure to the or Negligence. (For example. case, any of the referenced

establishes that the cause of the could not be completely eliminated on due care, he or she was sabotaged by the basis of No Fault or Negligence in contamination); (b) the administration elimination of a sanction is where an a competitor. Conversely, a sanction (Article 2.1.1) and have been warned against the possibility of supplement

common multiple vitamin purchased

of a prohibited substance by the

4thlete's personal physician or

from a source with no connection to

be based on the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited

Method that carries the most severe sanction.

separate anti-doping rule violations, one involving a specified substance governed by the sanctions set

forth in Article 10.3 (Specified Substances) and the

10.6.3 Commont. Article 10.6.3 deals

with the situation where an Athlete commits two separate anti-doping

10.6.3 Where an Athlete is found to have committed two

under Article 10.3 and another Prohibited

Substance or Prohibited Method, the Athlete shall

be considered to have committed a single antidoping rule violation, but the sanction imposed shall

rule violation involving both a specified substance

**10.6.2** Where an *Athlet*e, based on the same *Doping* Control, is found to have committed an anti-doping

that carries the more severe sanction.

10.5.3 Athlete's Substantial Assistance in Discovering or Establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations by Athlete Support Personnel and Others.

Person committed the second anti-doping rule violation after the Athlete or other Person received notice, or after the Anti-Doping Organization made

*Organization* can establish that the *Athlet*e or other

World Anti-Doping Code

doping rule violation; if the Anti-Doping Organization cannot establish this, the violations shall be considered as one single first violation, and the sanction imposed shall be based on the violation

a reasonable *Attempt* to give notice, of the first anti-

period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a Athlete has provided substantial assistance to the Possession by Athlete Support Personnel). Article 2.7 (Trafficking), or Article 2.8 (administration to an lifetime, the reduced period under this section may An Anti-Doping Organization may also reduce the period of *Ineligibility* in an individual case where the Anti-Doping Organization which results in the Anti-Doping Organization discovering or establishing an anti-doping rule violation by another *Person* Athlete). The reduced period of Ineligibility may not. however, be less than one-half of the minimum Possession under Article oe no less than 8 years. involving

### Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Violations 10.6

10.2, 10.3 and 10.4, a second anti-doping rule violation may be considered for purposes of 10.6.1 For purposes of imposing sanctions under Articles the Anti-Doping imposing sanctions only if

Prohibited Substances and the Athlete exercised care in not taking other nutritional supplements.)

because these violations may be based purposeful. Violations under Article 2.4 (whereabouts information and missed establish these violations, because the intentional conduct is not required to identified anti-doping rule violations tests) are not included, even though on conduct that is not intentional or sanction for violations of Article 2.4 Article 10.5.2 applies only to the

already builds in sufficient discretion to allow consideration of the Athlete's (from three months to two years) degree of fault.

time before notice of the first positive test would only be sanctioned on the 10.6.1 Comment: Under this Article. an Athlete testing positive a second basis of a single anti-doping rule

first violation under 10.2 followed by a sanction to the Athlete that commits a cases, the sanction shall be from two second violation involving a specified second violation under 10.2. In both and a first offense under 10.3 (up to commits a first violation involving a adding together the sanctions for a first offense under 10.2 (two years) one year). This provides the same specified substance followed by a offenses. This Article imposes a combined sanction calculated by substance, and the Athlete that

> Article in the Code. the second offense sanctions of Article 10.3. Without this

substance governed by the lesser

violations involves a specified rule violations, but one of the

arguably could be governed by: the

sanction applicable to a second

violation for the Prohibited Substance

the first violation, or a combination of

the sanctions applicable to the two

offense for the substance involved in 'nvolved in the second violation. the

sanction applicable to a second

years to three years' Ineligibility.

Disqualification of Results in Competitions Subsequent to Sample Collection 10.7

Results), all other competitive results obtained from the Competition or Out-of-Competition), or other doping n addition to the automatic Disqualification of the results n the Competition which produced the positive Sample date a positive Sample was collected (whether Inviolation occurred, through the commencement of any unless fairness requires otherwise, be Disqualified with all of the resulting consequences including forfeiture of under Article 9 (Automatic *Disqualification* of Individual Provisional Suspension or Ineligibility period, shall, any medals, points and prizes.

#### Commencement of Ineligibility Period 10.8

The period of Ineligibility shall start on the date of the hearing decision providing for Ineligibility or, if the hearing is waived, on the date *Ineligibility* is accepted or otherwise imposed. Any period of *Provisional Suspension* (whether mposed or voluntarily accepted) shall be credited against

year period of Ineligibility at the time a 10.8 Comment: Currently, many Antihearing decision is rendered. Those Doping Organizations start the two-Anti-Doping Organizations also

Doping Organizations simply start the two-year suspension on the date the Sample was collected. Other Antiretroactively to the date a positive frequently invalidate results

process or other aspects of Doping Control not attributable to the Athlete, the body imposing the sanction may start the period of Ineligibility at an earlier date commencing as the total period of Ineligibility to be served. Where required by fairness, such as delays in the hearing early as the date of Sample collection.

#### Status During Ineligibility 10.9

Signatories. Signatories' member organizations and governments. A Person subject to a period of Ineligibility longer than four years may, after organization. In addition, for any anti-doping rule violation not involving specified substances described in Article 10.3, some or all sport-related financial support or other sport-related benefits received by such Person will be he period of Ineligibility, participate in any capacity in a Competition or activity (other than authorized anti-doping education or rehabilitation programs) authorized or organized by any Signatory or Signatory's member No Person who has been declared Ineligible may, during completing four years of the period of *Ineligibility*, withheld by

DMADC, as clarified by its Explanatory the other hand, the body imposing the decision is reached so that an Athlete Doping Control process which are not scheduling the hearing caused by the approach. The approach provided in inordinate delay by the laboratory in reporting a positive test or delays in positive Sample was collected. The interim. It also encourages them to running before the date the hearing Document, does not mandate either disincentive to drag out the hearing process while they compete in the Suspensions pending a hearing. the Code gives Athletes a strong is not penalized by delays in the sanction can start the sanction voluntarily accept Provisional his or her fault. for example, Anti-Doping Organization.

prohibit the Person from participating in the OMADC that an Athlete who is made Ineligibility period. This would preclude 10.9 Comment. The rules of some Antiofficial. Sanctions in one sport will also Athlete in those sports could still coach for example, practicing with a national period of Ineligibility. For example, an authorized Event or activity during the Article adopts the position set forth in be recognized by other sports (see Article 15.4). This article would not Athlete from "competing" during a team, or acting as a coach or sport Doping Organizations only ban an during the Ineligibility period. This participate in any capacity in an ineligible for doping should not

ജ

sport on a purely recreational level

2003

World Anti-Doping Code

sport in which the Person committed the anti-doping rule a level that could otherwise qualify such Person directly or ndirectly to compete in (or accumulate points toward) a participate in local sport events in a sport other than the violation, but only so long as the local sport event is not at national championship or International Event.

#### Reinstatement Testing 10.10

As a condition to regaining eligibility at the end of a to a period of Ineligibility retires from sport and is Competition Testing for a period of time equal to the make him or herself available for Out-of-Competition urisdiction, and must, if requested, provide current and accurate whereabouts information. If an Athlete subject reinstatement until the Athlete has notified relevant Anti-Doping Organizations and has been subject to Out-ofperiod of *Ineligibility* remaining as of the date the *Athlet*e specified period of Ineligibility, an Athlete must, during any period of Provisional Suspension or Ineligibility. Testing by any Anti-Doping Organization having testing removed from *Out-of-Competition Testing* pools and later seeks reinstatement, the Athlete shall not be eligible for had retired.

## ARTICLE 11 CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS

Sport is found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation connection with an *Event,* the Team shall be subject to *Target* Where more than one team member in a Team Sport has been notified of a possible anti-doping rule violation under Article 7 in *Testing* for the *Event.* If more than one team member in a *Team* during the Event, the team may be subject to Disqualification or other disciplinary action. In sports which are not Team Sports but

10.10 Comment On a related issue, the Doping Organizations to establish their Code does not establish a rule, but rather leaves it to the various Antiown rules, addressing eligibility

included in an Out-of-Competition pool requirements for Athletes who are not neligible and retire from sport while and then seek to return to active participation in sport.

disciplinary action against the team when one or more team members have committed an anti-doping rule violation shall be where awards are given to teams, *Disqualification* or other as provided in the applicable rules of the International Federation.

# **ARTICLE 12 SANCTIONS AGAINST SPORTING BODIES**

Nothing in this Code precludes any Signatory or government accepting the Code from enforcing its own rules for the purpose of imposing sanctions on another sporting body over which the Signatory or government has authority.

#### **ARTICLE 13 APPEALS**

#### Decisions Subject to Appeal 13.1

13.2 through 13.4. Such decisions shall remain in effect while under appeal unless the appellate body orders Anti-Doping Decisions made under the Code or rules adopted pursuant to the Code may be appealed as set forth below in Articles otherwise. Before an appeal is commenced, any post-Organization's rules must be exhausted, provided that such review respects the principles set forth in Article the .⊑ decision review provided 3.2.2 below.

#### Appeals from Decisions Regarding Anti-Doping Rule Violations, Consequences, and Provisional Suspensions 13.2

A decision that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision imposing Consequences for an anti-doping rule violation, a decision that no anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision that an Anti-Doping Organization lacks jurisdiction to rule on an alleged anti-doping rule violation or its Consequences.

whatever disciplinary rights between clear that the Code does not restrict 12 Comment This Article makes it organizations may otherwise exist.

provides that any dispute arising out of the application of the OMADC may OMADC Article is broader in that it 13.1 Commont: The comparable be appealed to CAS.

# 13.2.1 Appeals Involving International-Level Athletes

International-Level Athletes, the decision may be in cases involving appealed exclusively to the Court of Arbitration for Sport ("CAS") in accordance with the provisions from competition applicable before such court. International Event or arising cases

# 13.2.2 Appeals Involving National-Level Athletes

that do not have a right to appeal under Article rules established by the National Anti-Doping In cases involving national-level Athletes, as 13.2.1, the decision may be appealed to an independent and impartial body in accordance with Organization. The rules for such appeal shall defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization, respect the following principles:

- A timely hearing;
- Fair, impartial and independent hearing body;
- The right to be represented by counsel at the Person's own expense; and
- A timely, written, reasoned decision.

## 13.2.3 Persons Entitled to Appeal

shall have the right to appeal to CAS: (a) the Athlete In cases under Article 13.2.1, the following parties

review required by law applicable to 13.2.1 Comment: CAS decisions are the annulment or enforcement of final and binding except for any arbitral awards.

Organization may elect to comply with this Article by giving its national-level Athletes the right to appeal directly to 13.2.2 Comment: An Anti-Doping

which the decision was rendered; (c) the relevant Federation shall also have the right to appeal to CAS national-level reviewing body shall be as provided other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in under Article 13.2.2, WADA and the International eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (e) WADA. In cases under Article 13.2.2, the parties having the right to appeal to the in the National Anti-Doping Organization's rules but, at a minimum, shall include: (a) the Athlete or International Federation; and (d) WADA. For cases with respect to the decision of the national-level being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) the relevant International Federation and any other Anti-Doping Organization under whose rules a sanction could have been imposed; (d) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting or other Person who is the subject of the decision reviewing body.

Suspension is the Athlete or other Person upon Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the only *Person* that may appeal from a *Provisional* whom the Provisional Suspension is imposed.

#### Appeals from Decisions Granting or Denying a Therapeutic Use Exemption 13.3

Decisions by WADA reversing the grant or denial of a therapeutic use exemption may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the Athlete or the Anti-Doping Organization whose Decisions by Anti-Doping Organizations other than WADA denying therapeutic use exemptions, which are not reversed by WADA, may be appealed by International-Level Athletes to CAS and by decision was reversed.

World Anti-Doping Code

13.4 Appeals from Decisions Imposing Consequences under Part Three of the Code

With respect to consequences imposed under Part Three (Roles and Responsibilities) of the Code, the entity upon which consequences are imposed under Part Three of the Code shall have the right to appeal exclusively to CAS in accordance with the provisions applicable before such court.

13.5 Appeals from Decisions Suspending or Revoking Laboratory Accreditation

Decisions by WADA to suspend or revoke a laboratory's WADA accreditation may be appealed only by that laboratory with the appeal being exclusively to CAS.

# ARTICLE 14 CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING

The Signatories agree to the principles of coordination of antidoping results, public transparency and accountability and respect for the privacy interests of individuals alleged to have violated anti-doping rules as provided below:

14.1 Information Concerning Adverse Analytical Findings and Other Potential Anti-Doping Rule Violations An Athlete whose Sample has resulted in an Adverse Analytical Finding, or an Athlete or other Person who may

13.5 Comment. The object of the Code is to have anti-doping matters resolved through fair and transparent internal processes with a final appeal. Anti-doping decisions by Anti-Doping Organizations are made transparent in Article 14. Specified Persons and organizations, including WADA, are

then given the opportunity to appeal those decisions. Note, that the definition of interested Persons and organizations with a right to appeal under Atricle 13 does not include Athletes, or their federations, who might benefit from having another competitor disqualified.

organization with a need to know until the Anti-Doping analytical result reported by the laboratory. The same and, in any case in which the period of Ineligibility is or reduced under Article 10.5.2 (No Significant Fault or Negligence), shall be provided with a written reasoned decision explaining the basis for the elimination or reduction. The recipient organizations shall not disclose this information beyond those persons within the Organization with results management responsibility has The Athlete's National Anti-Doping also be notified not later than the completion of the nclude: the Athlete's name, country, sport and discipline Out-of-Competition, the date of Sample collection and the Persons and Anti-Doping Organizations shall be regularly updated on the status and findings of any review or proceedings conducted pursuant to Articles 7 (Results eliminated under Article 10.5.1 (No Fault or Negligence), made public disclosure or has failed to make public nave violated an anti-doping rule, shall be notified by the Anti-Doping Organization with results management Organization and International Federation and WADA shall process described in Articles 7.1 and 7.2. Notification shall within the sport, whether the test was In-Competition or Management), 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing) or 13 (Appeals), Article disclosure as required in Article 14.2 below. responsibility as provided in Management).

#### 14.2 Public Disclosure

The identity of Athletes whose Samples have resulted in Adverse Analytical Findings, or Athletes or other Persons who were alleged by an Anti-Doping Organization to have violated other anti-doping rules, may be publicly disclosed by the Anti-doping Organization with results management responsibility no earlier than completion of the administrative review described in Articles 7.1 and 7.2. No later than twenty days after it has been determined in a hearing in accordance with Article 8 that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, or such hearing has been

not been timely challenged, the Anti-Doping Organization waived, or the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has responsible for results management must publicly report the disposition of the anti-doping matter.

#### Athlete Whereabouts Information 14.3

and National Anti-Doping Organizations shall coordinate the Anti-Doping Organizations having authority to test the Athlete as provided confidence at all times; shall be used exclusively for purposes of planning, coordinating or conducting Testing; and shall be current location information. The International Federations dentification of Athletes and the collecting of current location information and shall submit it to WADA. WADA shall make in Article 15. This information shall be maintained in strict 4thletes who have been identified by their International -ederation or *National Anti-Doping Organization* for inclusion n an Out-of-Competition Testing pool shall provide accurate, destroyed after it is no longer relevant for these purposes. other 2 information accessible this

#### Statistical Reporting 14.4

Anti-Doping Organizations shall, at least annually, publish publicly a general statistical report of their *Doping Control* activities with a copy provided to WADA.

#### Doping Control Information Clearing House 14.5

In-Competition and Out-of-Competition tests on such Athletes WADA shall make this resting data and results for International-Level Athletes and national-level Athletes that have been included in their To facilitate coordinated test distribution planning and to avoid unnecessary duplication in *Testing* by the various Anti-Doping Organizations, each Anti-Doping Organization shall report all to the WADA clearinghouse as soon as possible after such information accessible to the Athlete, the Athlete's National WADA shall act as a central clearing house for Doping Control Vational Anti-Doping Organization's Registered Testing Pool. <sup>-</sup>ederation, *National Olympic Committ*ee or National tests have been conducted.

World Anti-Doping Code

Committee or International Paralympic Committee. Private International Federation, and the International Olympic information regarding an Athlete shall be maintained by WADA in strict confidence. WADA shall, at least annually, Paralympic Committee, National Anti-Doping Organization. publish statistical reports summarizing such information.

#### ARTICLE 15. CLARIFICATION OF *DOPING CONTROL* RESPONSIBILITIES

#### Event Testing 5.1

Testing at such an Event, the National Anti-Doping Organization for the country where the Event occurs may, in National Events, the collection of Doping Control Samples Championship, and PASO for the Pan American Games). If organization or WADA, initiate and conduct such Testing. At International Events, the collection of Doping Control Samples shall be initiated and directed by the international organization which is the ruling body for the Event (e.g., the IOC for the Olympic Games, the International Federation for a World the international organization decides not to conduct any coordination with and with the approval of the international However, only a single organization should be responsible for initiating and directing Testing during an Event. At shall be initiated and directed by the designated National Anti-The collection of Samples for *Doping Control* does and should take place at both International Events and National Events. Doping Organization of that country.

Doping Organizations conducting strong responsibilities, first by creating a much national levels. Rather than limiting the responsibilities of one group in favor of programs at both the international and the Code manages potential problems the exclusive competency of the other, 15 Commont. To be effective, the antidoping effort must involve many Antihigher level of overall harmonization associated with overlapping

precedence and cooperation in specific and second, by establishing rules of areas.

to which it delegates responsibility for Sample collection or other aspects of agreements with other organizations Organization "initiating and directing testing" may, if it chooses, enter into 15.1 Comment: The Anti-Doping the Doping Control process. World Anti-Doping Code

#### Out-of-Competition Testing 15.2

Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; (c) the Athlete's WADA in order to maximize the effectiveness of the Out-of-Competition Testing may be initiated and directed by: (a) WADA; (b) the IOC or IPC in connection with the nternational Federation; (d) the Athlete's National Anti-Doping Organization; or (e) the National Anti-Doping Out-of-Competition Testing is and should be initiated and directed by both international and national organizations. Organization of any country where the Athlete is present. Out-of-Competition Testing should be coordinated through combined *Testing* effort and to avoid unnecessary epetitive Testing of individual Athletes.

### Results Management, Hearings and Sanctions 15.3

Regardless of which organization conducts results management or hearings, the principles set forth in Articles 7 and 8 shall be respected and the rules identified in the Introduction to Part One to and hearings shall be the responsibility of and shall be governed nitiated and directed Sample collection (or, if no Sample collection Except as provided in Article 15.3.1 below, results management by the procedural rules of the Anti-Doping Organization that is involved, the organization which discovered the violation). se incorporated without substantive change must be followed. 15.3.1 Results management and the conduct of hearings by, or discovered by, a National Anti-Doping for an anti-doping rule violation arising from a test Organization involving an Athlete that is not a citizen

15.2 Comment. Additional authority to conduct Testing may be authorized by agreements among Signatories and means of bilateral or multilateral governments.

procedural rules of the Anti-Doping directed the Sample collection may 15.3 Comment In some cases, the Organization which initiated and

specify that results management will be Organization's responsibility to confirm such event, it shall be the Anti-Doping that the other organization's rules are handled by another organization (e.g., the Athlete's national federation). In consistent with the Code.

established for managing results and 15.3.1 Comment: No absolute rule is

of hearings from a test by the International Olympic or resident of that country shall be administered as Federation. Results management and the conduct International Paralympic Committee, or a Major Event Organization, shall be referred to the applicable International Federation as far as sanctions beyond Disqualification from the directed by the rules of the applicable International Event or the results of the Event. the Committee,

#### Mutual Recognition 15.4

consistent with the Code and are within that Signatory's Signatories. Signatories may recognize the same actions of other bodies which have not accepted the Code if the rules of other final adjudications of any Signatory which are authority, shall be recognized and respected by all other Subject to the right to appeal provided in Article 13, the Testing, therapeutic use exemptions and hearing results or hose bodies are otherwise consistent with the Code.

## ARTICLE 16: DOPING CONTROL FOR ANIMALS COMPETING IN SPORT

International Federation for that sport shall establish and implement anti-doping rules for the animals included in that sport. The anti-doping rules shall include a list of Prohibited Substances, appropriate Testing procedures In any sport that includes animals in competition, the and a list of approved laboratories for Sample analysis. 16.1

should be referred to the Athlete's Organization that collected the remain with the Anti-Doping conducting hearings where a National would have had no jurisdiction but for the Athlete's presence in the National

foreign national athlete over whom it

4nti-Doping Organization tests a

National Anti-Doping Organization. Sample, or be taken over by the International Federation.

International Federation to determine

example, management of the case

under its own rules whether, for Under this Article, it is left to the

Anti-Doping Organization's country.

16.2 With respect to determining anti-doping rule violations, results management, fair hearings, Consequences, and appeals for animals involved in sport, the International Federation for that sport shall establish and implement rules that are generally consistent with Articles 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 17 of the Code.

## **ARTICLE 17: STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS**

No action may be commenced against an Athlete or other Person for a violation of an anti-doping rule contained in the Code unless such action is commenced within eight years from the date the violation occurred.

17 Comment. This does not restrict the Anti-Doping Organization from considering an earlier anti-doping violation for purposes of the sanction for a subsequent violation that occurs more than eight years later. In other words, a second violation ten years after a first violation is considered a second violation for sanction purposes.

#### EDUCATION & RESEARCH

PART TWO

#### ARTICLE 18. EDUCATION

## 18.1 Basic Principle and Primary Goal

The basic principle for information and education programs shall be to preserve the spirit of sport as described in the Introduction to the Code. from being undermined by doping. The primary goal shall be to dissuade Athletes from using Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods.

## 18.2 Program and Activities

Each Anti-Doping Organization should plan, implement and monitor information and education programs. The programs should provide Participants with updated and accurate information on at least the following issues:

- Substances and methods on the Prohibited List
- Health consequences of doping
- Doping Control procedures
- Athletes' rights and responsibilities

The programs should promote the spirit of sport in order to establish an anti-doping environment which influences behavior among Participants.

Athlete Support Personnel should educate and counsel Athletes regarding anti-doping policies and rules adopted pursuant to the Code.

## 18.3 Coordination and Cooperation

All Signatories and Participants shall cooperate with each other and governments to coordinate their efforts in antidoping information and education.

World Anti-Doping Code

2003

#### **ARTICLE 19: RESEARCH**

## 19.1 Purpose of Anti-Doping Research

Anti-doping research contributes to the development and implementation of efficient programs within *Doping Control* and to anti-doping information and education.

#### 19.2 Types of Research

Anti-doping research may include, for example, sociological, behavioral, juridical and ethical studies in addition to medical, analytical and physiological investigation.

#### 19.3 Coordination

Coordination of anti-doping research through WADA is encouraged. Subject to intellectual property rights, copies of anti-doping research results should be provided to WADA.

### 19.4 Research Practices

Anti-doping research shall comply with internationally recognized ethical practices.

# 19.5 Research Using Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods

Research efforts should avoid the administration of Prohibited Substances or Prohibited Methods to Athletes.

#### 19.6 Misuse of Results

Adequate precautions should be taken so that the results of anti-doping research are not misused and applied for doping.

# ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

PART THREE

### World Anti-Doping Code

2003

# ARTICLE 20: ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF SIGNATORIES

- 20.1 Roles and Responsibilities of the International Olympic Committee
- **20.1.1** To adopt and implement anti-doping policies and rules for the Olympic Games which conform with the Code.
- 20.1.2 To require as a condition of recognition by the International Olympic Committee, that International Federations within the Olympic Movement are in compliance with the Code.
- **20.1.3** To withhold some or all Olympic funding of sport organizations that are not in compliance with the Code.
- **20.1.4** To take appropriate action to discourage noncompliance with the Codeas provided in Article 23.5.
- **20.1.5** To authorize and facilitate the *Independent Observe*r Program.
- **20.2** Roles and Responsibilities of the International Paralympic Committee
- **20.2.1** To adopt and implement anti-doping policies and rules for the Paralympic Games which conform with the Code.
- 20.2.2 To require as a condition of recognition by the International Paralympic Committee, that National Paralympic Committees within the Olympic Movement are in compliance with the Code.

20 Comment: Responsibilities for Signatories and Participants are addressed in various articles in the

Code and the responsibilities listed in this part are additional to these responsibilities.

World Anti-Doping Code

- 20.2.3To withhold some or all Paralympic funding of sport organizations that are not in compliance with the Code
- 20.2.4 To take appropriate action to discourage noncompliance with the Code as provided in Article
- 20.2.5 To authorize and facilitate the *Independent Observer* Program.
- 20.3 Roles and Responsibilities of International Federations
- **20.3.1** To adopt and implement anti-doping policies and rules which conform with the Code.
- 20.3.2 To require as a condition of membership that the policies, rules and programs of National Federations are in compliance with the Code.
- **20.3.3** To require all Athletes and Athlete Support Personnel within their jurisdiction to recognize and be bound by anti-doping rules in conformance with the Code.
- 20.3.4 To require Athletes who are not regularly members of the International Federation or one of its member National Federations to be available for Sample collection and provide accurate and up-to-date whereabouts information if required by the conditions for eligibility established by the International Federation or, as applicable, the Major Event Organization.
- **20.3.5** To monitor the anti-doping programs of National Federations.

20.3.4 Comment. This would include. for example. Athletes from professional leagues.

絽

- 20.3.6 To take appropriate action to discourage non-compliance with the Code as provided in Article 23.5.
- **20.3.7** To authorize and facilitate the *Independent Observer* program at *International Events*.
- **20.3.8** To withhold some or all funding to its member National Federations that are not in compliance with the Code.
- **20.4** Roles and Responsibilities of *National Olympic* Committees and National Paralympic Committees
- **20.4.1** To ensure that their anti-doping policies and rules conform with the Code.
- 20.4.2 To require as a condition of membership or recognition that National Federations' anti-doping policies and rules are in compliance with the applicable provisions of the Code.
- 20.4.3 To require Athletes who are not regular members of a National Federation to be available for Sample collection and provide accurate and up-to-date whereabouts information on a regular basis if required during the year before the Olympic Games as a condition of participation in the Olympic Games.
- **20.4.4** To cooperate with their National Anti-Doping Organization.
- **20.4.5** To withhold some or all funding, during any period of his or her *Ineligibility*, to any *Athlete* or *Athlete Support Personnel* who has violated anti-doping rules.
- 20.4.6 To withhold some or all funding to its member or recognized National Federations that are not in compliance with the Code.

World Anti-Doping Code

- 20.5 Roles and Responsibilities of National Anti-Doping Organizations
- 20.5.1 To adopt and implement anti-doping rules and polices which conform with the Code.
- **20.5.2** To cooperate with other relevant national organizations and other *Anti-Doping Organizations*.
- **20.5.3** To encourage reciprocal testing between *National* Anti-Doping Organizations.
- 20.5.4 To promote anti-doping research.
- 20.6 Roles and Responsibilities of Major Event Organizations
- **20.6.1** To adopt and implement anti-doping policies and rules for their *Events* which conform with the Code.
- **20.6.2** To take appropriate action to discourage noncompliance with the Code as provided in Article 23.5.
- **20.6.3** To authorize and facilitate the *Independent Observer Program.*
- 20.7 Roles and Responsibilities of WADA
- **20.7.1** To adopt and implement policies and procedures which conform with the Code.
- 20.7.2 To monitor the processing of Adverse Analytical Findings.
- **20.7.3** To approve *International Standards* applicable to the implementation of the *Code*.
- **20.7.4** To accredit laboratories to conduct *Sample* analysis or to approve others to conduct *Sample* analysis.

**20.7.6** To promote, conduct, commission, fund and coordinate anti-doping research.

20.7.7 To conduct an effective Independent Observer Program.

**20.7.8** To conduct *Doping Controls* as authorized by other *Anti-Doping Organizations.* 

# ARTICLE 21: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PARTICIPANTS

## 21.1 Roles and Responsibilities of Athletes

21.1.1 To be knowledgeable of and comply with all applicable anti-doping policies and rules adopted pursuant to the Code.

21.1.2 To be available for Sample collection.

**21.1.3** To take responsibility, in the context of anti-doping for what they ingest and use.

21.1.4 To inform medical personnel of their obligation not to Use Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods and to take responsibility to make sure that any medical treatment received does not violate anti-doping policies and rules adopted pursuant to the Code.

# 21.2 Roles and Responsibilities of Athlete Support Personnel

21.2.1 To be knowledgeable of and comply with all antidoping policies and rules adopted pursuant to the Code and which are applicable to them or the Athletes whom they support.

21.2.2 To cooperate with the Athlete Testing program.

**21.2.3** To use their influence on Athlete values and behavior to foster anti-doping attitudes.

World Anti-Doping Code

# **ARTICLE 22: INVOLVEMENT OF GOVERNMENTS**

Each government's commitment to the Code will be evidenced by its signing a Declaration on or before the first day of the Athens Olympic Games to be followed by a process leading to a convention or other obligation to be implemented as appropriate to the constitutional and administrative contexts of each government on or before the first day of the Turin Winter Olympic Games.

It is the expectation of the Signatories that the Declaration and the convention or other obligation will reflect the following major points:

Affirmative measures will be undertaken by each government in support of anti-doping in at least the following areas:

22.1

- Support for national anti-doping programs:
- The availability of Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods:
- Facilitate access for WADA to conduct Out-of-Competition Doping Controls.
- The problem of nutritional supplements which contain undisclosed Prohibited Substances, and
- Withholding some or all financial support from sport organizations and Participants that are not in compliance with the Code or applicable anti-doping rules adopted pursuant to the Code.

22 Comment: Most governments cannot be parties to, or be bound by, private non-governmental instruments such as the Code. For that reason, governments are not asked to be Signatories to the Code. However, the effort to combat doping through the recordinated and harmponized program reflected in the Code is very much a

joint effort between the sport movement and governments. An example of one type of obligation referred to above is the convention discussed in the Final Communiqué of the UNESCO Round Table of Ministers and Senior Officials Responsible for Physical Education and Sport held in Paris on 9/10 January 2003.

- 22.2 All other governmental involvement with anti-doping will be brought into harmony with the Code.
- 22.3 Ongoing compliance with the commitments reflected in the convention or other obligation will be monitored as determined in consultation between WADA and the applicable government(s).

ACCEPTANC COMPLIANC MODIFICATION

PART FOUR

# ARTICLE 23: ACCEPTANCE, COMPLIANCE AND MODIFICATION

## 23.1 Acceptance of the Code

- 23.1.1 The following entities shall be Signatories accepting the Code: WADA. The International Olympic Committee, International Federations, The International Paralympic Committee, National Olympic Committees, National Paralympic Committees, National Paralympic Committees, Najor Event Organizations, and National Anti-Doping Organizations. These entities shall accept the Code by signing a declaration of acceptance upon approval by each of their respective governing bodies.
- **23.1.2** Other sport organizations that may not be under the control of a *Signatory* may. upon *WADA*'s invitation, also accept the Code.
- 23.1.3 A list of all acceptances will be made public by WADA.

## 23.2 Implementation of the Code

23.2.1 The Signatories shall implement applicable Code provisions through policies, statutes, rules or regulations according to their authority and within their relevant spheres of responsibility.

23.1.1 Comment. Each accepting Signatory will separately sign an identical copy of the standard form common dectaration of acceptance and deliver it to WADA. The act of acceptance will be as authorized by the organic documents of each organization. For example, an International Federation by its Congress and WADA by its Foundation Board.

23.1.2 Comment: Those professional leagues that are not currently under the jurisdiction of any government or International Federation will be encouraged to accept the Code.

World Anti-Doping Code

**23.2.2** In implementing the Code, the Signatories are encouraged to use the Models of Best Practice recommended by WADA.

# 23.3 Acceptance and Implementation Deadlines

- 23.3.1 Signatories shall accept and implement the Code on or before the first day of the Athens Olympic Games.
- 23.3.2 The Code may be accepted after the above-referenced deadlines; however, Signatories shall not be considered in compliance with the Code until they have accepted the Code (and that acceptance has not been withdrawn).

## 23.4 Monitoring Compliance with the Code

- **23.4.1** Compliance with the Code shall be monitored by WADA or as otherwise agreed by WADA.
- 23.4.2 To facilitate monitoring, each Signatory shall report to WADA on its compliance with the Code every second year and shall explain reasons for noncompliance.
- 23.4.3 WADA shall consider explanations for non-compliance and, in extraordinary situations, may recommend to the International Olympic Committee, International Paralympic Committee, International Federations, and Major Event Organizations that they provisionally excuse the non-compliance.

23.4.3 Comment. WADA recognizes wh that amongst Signatories and car governments, there will be significant an differences in anti-doping experience. will resources, and the legal context in

which anti-doping activities are carried out. In considering whether an organization is compliant. WADA will consider these differences.

2003

2003

### Consequences of Noncompliance with the Code 23.5

government or National Olympic Committee of a Championships or the Events of Major Event may be appealed by the National Olympic country may result in consequences with respect to Olympic Games, Paralympic Games, World each Event. The imposition of such consequences Committee or government to CAS pursuant to 23.5.1 Noncompliance with the Code by either the Organizations as determined by the ruling body for Article 13.4.

#### Modification of the Code 23.6

evolution and improvement of the Code. Athletes and all Signatories and governments shall be 23.6.1 WADA shall be responsible for overseeing the nvited to participate in such process.

both receive and respond to recommendations and 23.6.2 WADA shall initiate proposed amendments to the Code and shall ensure a consultative process to Signatories and governments on recommended to facilitate review and feedback from Athletes. amendments

- unless provided otherwise, go into effect three 23.6.3 Amendments to the Code shall, after appropriate of the WADA Foundation Board including a majority of both the public sector and Olympic Movement members casting votes. Amendments shall, consultation, be approved by a two-thirds majority months after such approval.
- 23.6.4 Signatories shall implement any applicable amendment to the Code within one year of approval by the WADA Foundation Board.
- Withdrawal of Acceptance of the Code 23.7
- 23.7.1 Signatories may withdraw acceptance of the Code after providing WADA six-month's written notice of their intent to withdraw.

## ARTICLE 24: INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE

- and shall be published in English and French. In the event The official text of the Code shall be maintained by WADA of any conflict between the English and French versions, the English version shall prevail. 24.1
- The comments annotating various provisions of the Code are included to assist in the understanding and interpretation of the Code. 242
- The Code shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to the existing law or statutes of the Signatories or governments. 24.3

- 2003
- **24.4** The headings used for the various Parts and Articles of the Code are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of the Code or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.
- **24.5** The Code shall not apply retrospectively to matters pending before the date the Code is accepted by a Signatory and implemented in its rules.
- **24.6** APPENDIX I Definitions shall be considered an integral part of the *Code*.

24.5 Comment. For example, conduct which is an anti-doping rule violation described in the Code, but which is not a violation under an International Federation's pre-Code rules, would not be a violation until the International Federation's rules are changed.

Pre-Code anti-doping rule violations would continue to count as "First violations" or "Second violations" for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10 for subsequent post-Code violations.

# APPENDIX 1 DEFINITIONS

Adverse Analytical Finding: A report from a laboratory or other approved Testing entity that identifies in a Specimen the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers (including elevated quantities of endogenous substances) or evidence of the Use of a Prohibited Method. Anti-Doping Organization: A Signatory that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the Doping Control process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other Major Event Organizations that conduct Testing at their Events, WADA, International Federations, and National Anti-Doping Organizations.

Person's National Anti-Doping Organization. For purposes of anti-doping information and education, any Person who nternational Federation) or national level (as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization) and any additional Person who participates in sport at a lower level if designated by the Athlete: For purposes of Doping Control, any Person who participates in sport at the international level (as defined by each participates in sport under the authority of any Signatory, government, or other sports organization accepting the Code.

team staff, official, medical or para-medical personnel working Athlete Support Personnel: Any coach, trainer, manager, agent. with or treating Athletes participating in or preparing for sports competition.

the Code shall apply, at a minimum, to anti-doping rules adopted pursuant to to be set forth in the anti-doping rules subject to the anti-doping rules of the all persons on national teams and all international and national level sport of the International Federations and Code, with the precise definitions of National Anti-Doping Organizations, makes it clear that all international respectively. At the national level. Athlete Comment. This definition and national-calibre athletes are

national-calibre athletes to athletes at it chooses to do so, to expand its anti-National Anti-Doping Organization. if lower levels of competition. Athletes persons qualified to compete in any national championship in any sport. at all levels of competition should receive the benefit of anti-doping doping control program beyond The definition also allows each information and education.

Attempt: Purposely engaging in conduct that constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to culminate in on an Attempt to commit a violation if the Person renunciates the nowever, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely attempt prior to it being discovered by a third party not involved in Provided, the commission of an anti-doping rule violation. the Attempt.

Code: The World Anti-Doping Code.

Competition: A single race, match, game or singular athletic For stage races and other athletic contests where prizes are awarded on a daily or other interim basis the distinction between a Competition and an Event will be as provided in the rules of the contest. For example, the finals of the Olympic 100-meter dash. applicable International Federation.

or more of the following: (a) Disqualification means the Athlete's other Person is barred temporarily from participating in any other Person's violation of an anti-doping rule may result in one results in a particular Competition or Event are invalidated, with points and prizes, **(b)** Ineligibility means the Athlete or other Person is barred for a specified period of time from participating n any Competition or other activity or funding as provided in Article 10.9; and **(c)** *Provisional Suspension* means the Athlete or Competition prior to the final decision at a hearing conducted Consequences of Anti-Doping Rules Violations: An Athlete's or all resulting consequences including forfeiture of any medals, under Article 8 (Right to a Fair Hearing). See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rules Disgualification: Violations above.

Sample collection and handling, laboratory analysis, results **Doping Control**. The process including test distribution planning, management, hearings and appeals.

under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games, FINA World **Event:** A series of individual Competitions conducted together Championships, or Pan American Games)

g

2003

relevant Anti-Doping Organization, an In-Competition test is a test where an Athlete is selected for testing in connection with a In-Competition: For purposes of differentiating between In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing, unless provided otherwise in the rules of an International Federation or other specific Competition.

supervision of WADA, who observe the Doping Control process at certain Events and report on observations. If WADA is testing In-Independent Observer Program: A team of observers, under the Competition at an Event, the observers shall be supervised by an independent organization. Ineligibility. See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rules Violations

Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an International Federation, a Major Event Organization, or another International Event. An Event where the International Olympic international sport organization is the ruling body for the *Event* or appoints the technical officials for the Event.

International Federations as being within the Registered Testing International-Level Athlete: Athletes designated by one or more Pool for an International Federation.

of the Code. Compliance with an International Standard (as International Standard: A standard adopted by WADA in support opposed to another alternative standard, practice or procedure) shall be sufficient to conclude that the procedures addressed by the International Standard were performed properly.

significant because the full Prohibited List is only tested for "In-Competition. are not tested for Out-of-Competition enhancing benefit unless they are in distinction between "In-Competition" Prohibited stimulants, for example, because they have no performance and "Out-of-Competition" testing is In-Competition Comment: The

could have been found in the Athlete's the Athlete's system while the Athlete is actually competing. So long as the prohibited stimulant has cleared the urine the day before or the day affer difference whether that stimulant Athlete's system at the time the Athlete competes, it makes no the Competition.

international multi-sport organizations that function as the ruling associations of *National Olympic Committ*ees and other **Major Event Organizations**: This term refers to the continental body for any continental, regional or other International Event.

parameters that indicates the Use of a Prohibited Substance or **Marker:** A compound, group of compounds or biological Prohibited Method.

Metabolite. Any substance produced by a biotransformation process **Minor**: A natural *Person* who has not reached the age of majority as established by the applicable laws of his or her country of esidence.

the conduct of hearings, all at the national level. If this designation has not been made by the competent public responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of Samples, the management of test results, and authority(ies), the entity shall be the country's *National Olympi*c each country as possessing the primary authority and **National Anti-Doping Organization**: The entity(ies) designated by Committee or its designee.

**National Event**: A sport *Event* involving international or nationalevel Athletes that is not an International Event.

nternational Olympic Committee. The term National Olympic Committee shall also include the National Sport Confederation in hose countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical National Olympic Committee responsibilities in National Olympic Committee: The organization recognized by the he anti-doping area.

advance warning to the Athlete and where the Athlete is continuously chaperoned from the moment of notification No Advance Notice: A Doping Control which takes place with no through Sample provision.

Publicly Disclose or Publicly Report. To disseminate or distribute information to the general public or persons beyond those persons Registered Testing Pool: The pool of top level Athletes established separately by each International Federation and National Anti-Doping Organization who are subject to both In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing as part of that

entitled to earlier notification in accordance with Article 14.

2003

demonstrating that the Person no longer intends to have Possession and has renounced the Person's previous Prohibited List: The List identifying the Prohibited Substances

and Prohibited Methods.

Possession.

doping rule violation, the Person has taken concrete action

notification of any kind that the Person has committed an anti-

No Fault or Negligence. The Athlete's establishing that he or she did not know or suspect, and could not reasonably have known or suspected even with the exercise of utmost caution, that he or she had Used or been administered the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

the circumstances and taking into account the criteria for No Fault or Negligence, was not significant in relationship to the No Significant Fault or Negligence: The Athlete's establishing that his or her fault or negligence, when viewed in the totality of anti-doping rule violation. Any Doping Control which is not In-Out-of-Competition: Competition.

Participant: Any Athlete or Athlete Support Personnel.

abbreviated hearing occurring prior to a hearing under Article 8

(Right to a Fair Hearing) that provides the Athlete with notice and

an opportunity to be heard in either written or oral form.

Provisional Suspension: See Consequences above.

Provisional Hearing: For purposes of Article 7.5, an expedited

Prohibited Substance: Any substance so described on the

Prohibited List.

Prohibited Method: Any method so described on the Prohibited List.

Person: A natural Person or an organization or other entity.

Possession: The actual, physical possession, or the constructive possession (which shall be found only if the Person has exclusive control over the Prohibited Substance/Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance/Method exists); provided, however, that if the Person does not have exclusive control over the Prohibited Substance/Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance/Method exists, constructive possession shall only be found if the Person knew about the presence of the Prohibited Substance/Method and intended to exercise control over it. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on possession if, prior to receiving

the car; in that event. the Anti-Doping even though the Athlete did not have establishes that someone else used 4thlete knew about the steroids and Possession Comment: Under this exclusive control over the car, the Organization must establish that. Athlete's car would constitute a definition, steroids found in an violation unless the Athlete

steroids. Similarly, in the example of Athlete knew the steroids were in the cabinet and that the Athlete intended Organization must establish that the to exercise control over the steroids. Athlete and spouse, the Anti-Doping cabinet under the joint control of an steroids found in a home medicine

intended to have control over the

standard. membership on a national criteria could be a specified world ranking cut-off. a specified time

Sample Specimen: Any biological material collected for the

purposes of Doping Control.

nternational Federation's or Organization's test distribution plan.

team, etc

inclusion of Athletes in its Registered clearly define the specific criteria for Registered Testing Pool Comment Each International Federation shall Testing Pool For example, the E

Signatories: Those entities signing the Code and agreeing to comply with the Code, including the International Olympic Committee, International Federations, International Paralympic Committee. National Olympic Committees. National Paralympic Committees, Major Event Organizations. National Anti-Doping Organizations, and WADA.

Tampering: Altering for an improper purpose or in an improper way; bringing improper influence to bear; interfering improperly to alter results or prevent normal procedures from occurring.

Target Testing: Selection of Athletes for Testing where specific Athletes or groups of Athletes are selected on a non-random basis for Testing at a specified time.

Team Sport: A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a Competition.

**Testing:** The parts of the *Doping Control* process involving test distribution planning. Sample collection. Sample handling, and Sample transport to the laboratory.

Trafficking: To sell, give, administer, transport, send, deliver or distribute a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method to an Athlete either directly or through one or more third parties, but excluding the sale or distribution (by medical personnel or by Persons other than an Athlete's Support Personnel) of a Prohibited Substance for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes.

**Use:** The application, ingestion, injection or consumption by any means whatsoever of any *Prohibited Substanc*e or *Prohibited Method*.

WADA: The World Anti-Doping Agency.

#### EXHIBIT 51



THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CENTRAL DISTRICT of CALIFORNIA

SEARCH THE SITE

Search

SEARCH

HOME

ABOUT

**NEWS** 

MEET THE U.S. ATTORNEY

DIVISIONS

PROGRAMS

CONTACT US

Home » News » Press Release



#### U.S. Attorney Closes Investigation Of Professional Cycling Team

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

February 3, 2012

LOS ANGELES - United States Attorney André Birotte Jr. today announced that his office is closing an investigation into allegations of federal criminal conduct by members and associates of a professional bicycle racing team owned in part by Lance Armstrong.

The United States Attorney determined that a public announcement concerning the closing of the investigation was warranted by numerous reports about the investigation in media outlets around the

Mr. Birotte commended the joint investigative efforts of his prosecutors and special agents with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the United States Postal Service -Office of the Inspector General.

Release No. 12-024

Return to Top





Making sure that victims of federal crimes are treated with compassion, fairness and respect.

Individuals who believe that they may have been victims of lending discrimination by Countrywide and have questions about the settlement may email the Department of Justice at countrywide.settlement@usdoj.gov.



Press Releases

News Archive

HOME

ABOUT The District

Employment

NEWS

MEET THE US DIVISIONS ATTORNEY

Outreach

PROGRAMS CONTACT US

Telephone

Mail

Civil Division Criminal Division Tax Division Adminstrative

Division

Victim Witness Countrywide

Accessibility FOIA

Privacy Policy Legal Policies & Disclaimers

JUSTICE GOV/USAO

Justice gov

USA gov